Sri G. B. SHANKAR RAO.—The detailed estimates for the projects have been prepared and formation of road was taken up only out of the lumpsum grant.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ.—ಈಗ ಮಣ್ಣು ಕೆಲಸವೆಲ್ಲ ಮಳೆ ಬಂದು ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಶಂಕರ ರಾವ್.—ಎಲ್ಲಿಯೋ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಹಾಗೆ ಮುಚ್ಚಿ ಹೋಗಿರಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಭೀಮಣ್ಣ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಇದನ್ನು ಯಾವಾಗ ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಿ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಬಿ. ಶಂಕರ ರಾವ್.—ಹಣ ಒದಗಿಸಿದ್ದಾದರೆ ಇನ್ನು ಎರಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಯಬಹುದು.

Amount sanctioned for the Construction of the High School Building at Gurmitkal.

*Q.—1037. Sri VIDYADHAR GURUJI SAYANNA (Gurmitkal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether they are aware that an High School has been sanctioned to Gurmitkal Town ;

(*b*) the amount that was sanctioned for the said High School building and when ;

(*c*) whether they are aware of the inconvenience and difficulties caused to the students for want of proper accommodation and if so, the steps taken in the matter ?

*A.—Smt. GRACE TUCKER (Deputy Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) No amount is sanctioned for the construction of this High School building.

(*c*) Yes. The plan and estimate have been called for from the Public Works Department.

Sri VIDYADHAR GURUJI SAYANNA.—(Hindi)

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—The last part of it is, that it is with the Superintending Engineer, Raichur and it has not reached this office. In the first instance, it did come but it had to be returned because of some difficulties and it is now with the Superintending Engineer. From him, it will come to us.

Sri VIDYADHAR GURUJI SAYANNA.—(Hindi)

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—That is quite true ; but, there are various difficulties. In the first instance, the plan and e timate were far above the allotted amount.

Sri VIDYADHAR GURUJI SAYANNA.—(Hindi)

9-30 A. M.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—I am absolutely aware. That is why we are going ahead and trying to give it as early as possible.

Sri VIDYADHAR GURUJI SAYANNA.—(Hindi)

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—I do not think it will be to long with the Superintending Engineer. As soon as it reaches Government, sanction will be given.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question hour is over.

Motion of No-confidence in the Council of Ministers.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have got the ruling ready. Shall I first give the ruling or call upon the Mover of the Motion to move it ?

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I may be allowed to move the motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Yes.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—I beg leave of the House to move the following motion :

"That this House has no confidence in the Council of ministers of the State of Mysore."

Mr. SPEAKER.—Motion moved ;

"That this House has no confidence in the Council of Ministers of the State of Mysore."

May I know how many Members support the Motion ?

(Some Members stood up.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—There are more than 30. Leave is granted.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—The number may be counted, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have no objection. The Members may again stand.

(Members stood up.)

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Secretary has counted 55. More than 30 have stood up. Therefore the House shall be deemed to have greanted leave. I will now enlighten the House with regard to the ruling also I have dealt with the matter. But I will quote certain precedents, in order to avoid discussion on procedural matters. I will refer to the Lok Sabha Debates, Volume 19, Part I, column 158. Mr. Speaker says ; "I have to inform the House that I have received 7 of notices motions of no-confidence in the Council of Ministers under Rule 198." Motion Nos. 1, 2 and others are not moved and ultimately the 6th motion standing in the name of Hon. Sri J. B. Kripalani is taken up. Mr. Kripalani, says ; "I beg leave of the House to move the following motion". Then it is supported by 72 Members who stood up. Mr. Speaker thereupon says : "I will have to fix some time. Can the Government give me an indication when it is convenient to the Government to have this matter discussed? Minister for Parliamentary Affairs I think, will consult the Opposition as well and let me know the mind of the Government and give me an indication": Then hon. Member Sri H. V. Kamath said : "In view of the importance of the question may I earnestly request that at least a whole week should be allotted for discussion of this motion, I mean 5 working days".